

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ELIZABETH D., ¹)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 3:25-cv-00216-GCS
)	
FRANK BISIGNANO,)	
Commissioner of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM & ORDER

SISON, Magistrate Judge:

Before the Court is the parties' Agreed Motion to Remand to the Commissioner. (Doc. 18). The parties ask that this case be remanded for further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A sentence four remand (as opposed to a sentence six remand) depends upon a finding of error, and is itself a final, appealable order. *See Melkonyan v. Sullivan*, 501 U.S. 89, 98 (1991); *Perlman v. Swiss Bank Corporation Comprehensive Disability Protection Plan*, 195 F.3d 975, 978 (7th Cir. 1999). Upon a sentence four remand, judgment should be entered in favor of plaintiff. *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 302-303 (1993).

The parties agree that, upon remand, the ALJ should "offer Plaintiff the opportunity for a hearing; proceed through the sequential disability evaluation process

¹ Plaintiff's full name will not be used in this Order due to privacy concerns. *See* FED. R. CIV. PROC. 5.2(c) and the Advisory Committee Notes thereto.

as appropriate; obtain supplemental vocational expert testimony if warranted; and issue a new decision.” (Doc. 18, p. 1).

For good cause shown, the parties’ Agreed Motion to Remand to the Commissioner (Doc. 18) is **GRANTED**. The final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying plaintiff’s application for social security disability benefits is **REVERSED** and **REMANDED** to the Commissioner for rehearing and reconsideration of the evidence, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 17, 2025.

 Digitally signed by
Judge Sison
Date: 2025.07.17
11:51:13 -05'00'

GILBERT C. SISON
United States Magistrate Judge